

# United States District Court

**DISTRICT  
OF**

Southern

California

2007 DEC 27 AM 9:25

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Search of  
 (Name, address or brief description of person or property to  
 Express Mail parcel # EB581268500US addressed to Joel  
 Lean, 1735 Jeanette St., Wichita, KS 67203 and listed the  
 phone # (316) 993-8501. The parcel listed the return  
 information of A.C. 971 4<sup>th</sup> Ave, #42, Chula Vista, CA 91911  
 and listed the phone # (619) 757-4168.

BY Knit DEPUTY  
**APPLICATION AND AFFIDAVIT**  
**FOR SEARCH WARRANT**

CASE NUMBER: **'07 MJ 2985**I, Ana L. Flores

being duly sworn depose and say:

I am a(n) U. S. Postal Inspector  
 Official Title

and have reason to believe

that on the premises known as (name, description and/or location)

Express Mail parcel # EB581268500US addressed to Joel Lean, 1735 Jeanette St., Wichita, KS 67203 and listed the phone # (316) 993-8501. The parcel listed the return information of A.C. 971 4<sup>th</sup> Ave, #42, Chula Vista, CA 91911 and listed the phone # (619) 757-4168 which is in the custody of the U. S. Postal Inspection Service

in the Southern District of California

there is now concealed property, namely (describe the person or property)

Controlled substances, materials, and documents reflecting the distribution of controlled substances through the United States Mail, including money paid for controlled substances, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1), 843(b) and 846

which is (give alleged grounds for search and seizure under Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure)  
 evidence and contraband

in violation of Title 21 United States Code, Section(s) 841(a)(1), 843(b) and 846.

The facts to support the issuance of a Search Warrant are as follows:

See attached.

Continued on the attached sheet and made a part hereof.

X Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No


Signature of Affiant

Sworn to before me, and subscribed in my presence

12/20/07  
 Date

**WILLIAM McCURINE, JR.**at San Diego, CA  
 City and State


Signature of Judicial Officer

U.S. Magistrate Judge  
 Name and Title of Judicial Officer

OK  
JAB  
12-20-07

1                   AFFIDAVIT FOR SEARCH WARRANT

2

3 I, Ana Flores, being duly sworn hereby depose and state:

- 4     1. I am a United States Postal Inspector currently assigned to the San Diego Field Office of the  
5       Postal Inspection Service and my duties include investigating violations of the Drug Abuse  
6       Prevention and Control Act.
- 7     2. This affidavit is submitted in support of an application for a search warrant for the following  
8       Express Mail parcel # EB581268500US addressed to Joel Lean, 1735 Jeanette St., Wichita, KS  
9       67203 and listed the phone # (316) 993-8501. The parcel listed the return information of A.C.  
10      971 4<sup>th</sup> Ave, #42, Chula Vista, CA 91911 and listed the phone # (619) 757-4168.
- 11     3. I have been employed as a Postal Inspector since July 2003. From October 2003 through  
12       September 2005, I was assigned to the Internal Crimes / Mail Theft Investigations Team.  
13       Previous to that, I was employed with the United States Postal Service (USPS) for more than  
14       eight years. In July 2006, I received specialized training from the U.S. Postal Inspection Service  
15       which included instruction regarding individuals using the U.S. Mails to transport controlled  
16       substances and proceeds from the sale of controlled substances as well as the use of Postal  
17       Money Orders and other negotiable instruments to launder the proceeds of controlled  
18       substances transactions. In May 2007, I completed 80 hours narcotics investigations training by  
19       attending the Drug Enforcement Administration's Basic Narcotic Investigator School.
- 20     4. I have been involved in more than 100 investigations involving the shipment of illegal narcotics  
21       and controlled pharmaceuticals as well as drug proceeds through the US Mails. I have also  
22       investigated individuals who have used US Postal Money Orders, commercial money orders and  
23       other types of negotiable instruments to launder the proceeds of illegal narcotics and controlled  
24       pharmaceuticals. These investigations have resulted in the arrests of individuals involved in the  
25       illegal mailing of narcotics, the seizure of illegal narcotics, controlled pharmaceuticals and  
26       proceeds of illegal narcotics and controlled pharmaceuticals.
- 27
- 28

- 1       5. Based upon my training, experience and discussions with other Postal Inspectors and agents I  
2           know the following in summary:
- 3           a. Individuals who regularly handle controlled substances leave the scent of controlled  
4           substances on the currency and other items they handle. Proceeds from these sales are  
5           often stored in close proximity to the controlled substances, thereby transferring the odor  
6           of the controlled substance to the monies and packaging materials. Narcotic canines  
7           are trained to alert on the scents of controlled substances.
- 8           b. The Postal Inspection Service and DEA Narcotic Task Force Commercial Interdiction  
9           Team have worked aggressively to limit the use of shipping companies and the U.S. Mail  
10          for the transportation of controlled substance through these companies.
- 11          c. Ongoing investigations have disclosed that Priority/overnight and "two day" parcel  
12          deliveries have become a method of choice by drug dealers for the transportation of  
13          contraband or the proceeds of narcotic sales.
- 14          d. I know that Southern California is a source region for controlled substances being mailed  
15          throughout the United States. The proceeds from these narcotic transactions are then  
16          transported via the U.S. Mails and other communication facilities back to Southern  
17          California, the source of the controlled substances.
- 18          e. Drug dealers prefer the U.S. Mail, (but will sometimes utilize commercial shipping  
19          companies), specifically "Express Mail" or "Priority Mail," for the narcotic or narcotic  
20          proceeds transportation for various reasons, some of which are listed below:
- 21           1. Items sent via "Express Mail" or "Priority Mail" are considered to be first-class  
22           mail, therefore, cannot be examined without a Federal Search Warrant.
- 23           2. "Express Mail" is usually requested to be delivered by the next day's mail.
- 24           3. "Priority Mail" is usually requested to be delivered within two days of mailing.
- 25           4. Dispatch times for "Express Mail" are specific and are controllable by the  
26           mailer/shipper.
- 27

- 1           5. Any delay to the mail is an indication to the mailer the mail items have been  
2           possibly compromised by law enforcement agencies to obtain a search warrant.  
3           6. While it is not always the case that a delay of "Express Mail" or "Priority Mail" is  
4           for law enforcement purposes, those involved in illegal transactions have found  
5           that the odds are against delays in deliveries of "Express Mail" or "Priority Mail"  
6           by United States Postal Service.  
7           7. "Express Mail" and Priority Mail" may weigh up to 70 pounds and is desired for  
8           large volume shipments.  
9           f. Businesses are more likely to use Express Mail and Priority Mail than First-class Mail  
10          in the course of normal business and will often use an Express Mail Corporate  
11          Account Number for the billing of the mailed articles.  
12          g. Criminals who are involved in trafficking of controlled substances and drug proceeds  
13          will often receive multiple Express Mail articles within a short time period of each  
14          other.  
15          h. Criminals who are involved in trafficking of controlled substances and drug proceeds  
16          will often use multiple Post Office Boxes, Commercial Receiving Agencies and  
17          addresses in foreign countries to conceal their true identities and place of residence.  
18          i. I also know that drug orders containing currency, checks or money orders sometimes  
19          do not contain the presence of controlled substances because persons involved in  
20          shipping often utilize packing methods and sanitation procedures to conceal the odor  
21          or controlled substances.  
22          j. I also know from talking to U.S. Postal Service Supervisors and employees  
23          responsible for handling the mail that Express Mail is insured for up to \$100 in case  
24          of loss or damage. Insurance for Priority Mail may be purchased at an additional fee.  
25          Customers are discouraged from sending U.S. currency via the U.S. Mails and are  
26          encouraged to purchase negotiable instruments as a means to send currency by the  
27          U.S. Postal Service.

- 1           k. I also know through my training and experience that drug dealers will often use  
2           legitimate names and addresses of persons other than their own, or occupants at the  
3           residence, in order to receive controlled substances and/or proceeds/monetary  
4           instruments at their residence under names other than their own and to avoid  
5           suspicion.
6. The information in this affidavit is based upon information I have gained from my investigation,  
7           my personal observations, my training and experience as well as information related to me by  
8           other Postal Inspectors and law enforcement officers. Since this affidavit is being submitted for  
9           the limited purpose of securing a search warrant, I have not included each and every fact known  
10          to me concerning this investigation.
- 11         7. On or about December 14, 2007, I was contacted by a Postal employee from the Midway  
12          Processing and Distribution Facility (P&DF) located in San Diego, CA, who had identified the  
13          subject parcel as suspicious because it emitted an odor consistent with that of marijuana. I  
14          retrieved the parcel for further investigation. The parcel was suspicious, in part because it did  
15          emit a strong odor consistent with that of marijuana.
- 16         8. During the course of this investigation, I called the addressee phone number listed on the parcel  
17          (316) 993-8501 and spoke with an individual who identified himself as Samuel Osuna. Osuna  
18          told me he did not know anyone by the name of Joel Lean but agreed to call me back if anyone  
19          matching that name contacted him regarding the parcel. I also called the sender phone number  
20          of (619) 757-4168 and spoke to an individual who would not give his name. That individual  
21          denied having anything to do with the parcel and said I had called the wrong phone number.
- 22         9. On December 20, 2007, after not having been contacted by Osuna, I called the addressee  
23          phone number again (316) 993-8501, this time a recording stated the phone number was not in  
24          service.
- 25         10. On December 20, 2007 I met with San Diego Police Detective Steve A. Sloan and his trained  
26          narcotic detection canine, Reilly, at a Canine Training Facility located in San Diego, CA.  
27          Detective Sloan and Reilly conducted an exterior inspection of the subject parcel. When Reilly

1 examined the parcel described above, Detective Sloan advised that Reilly had alerted to the  
2 presence of the odor of controlled substances. I secured the subject parcel pending an  
3 application for a search warrant. The qualifications of canine Reilly are contained in attachment  
4 A.

- 5       11. Based on the facts set forth in this affidavit, I submit that there is probable cause to believe  
6 controlled substances, notes and/or currency from the illegal sale and mailing of controlled  
7 substances is being concealed in the subject parcel as described above and seek the issuance  
8 of a search warrant directing the search of the article as described above and the seizure of the  
9 article, any controlled substances, currency, and/or materials and documents reflecting the  
10 distribution of controlled substances, all in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections  
11 841(a)(1), 843(b), and 846.

Ana Flores  
Ana Flores  
Postal Inspector

15 Sworn to before me, and subscribed in my presence, on this

16 | 20<sup>th</sup> Day of December, 2007.

U. S. Magistrate Judge

**ATTACHMENT A**  
**For**  
**Steve A. Sloan and K-9 Reilly**

Steve A. Sloan is currently a detective for the San Diego Police Department (SDPD) assigned to the San Diego Integrated Narcotic Task Force (NTF) and deputized as a Federal Officer. Sloan has been a police officer for over 26 years and has worked as a narcotic detection dog handler since 1985. From 1988 to April 1993, Detective Sloan was the narcotic detection dog trainer for the SDPD and subsequently trained and certified 30 narcotic detection dog teams. Detective Sloan is currently the narcotic detection canine trainer for the canines assigned to the San Diego Drug Enforcement Administration Narcotic Task Force. Sloan is a certifying official for the California Narcotic Canine Association.

Detective Sloan has participated in over 300 arrest of persons for violations of controlled substance laws. Sloan has in excess of 300 hours of formal training and extensive experience in controlled substances investigations, particularly involving marijuana, methamphetamine, heroin, and cocaine, including the 80 hour DEA narcotic investigator course. Sloan has testified as an expert in the field of narcotic detection dogs in both state and federal court. Detective Sloan is an instructor in the field of narcotic detection dogs and/or parcel interdiction for the International Narcotic Interdiction Association, California Department of Justice, California Narcotic Officers Association, and the California Narcotic Canine Association. Sloan is familiar with the manner in which said substances are packaged, marketed and consumed. Detective Sloan has received formal training and field experience in the identification of all types of controlled substances, particularly those mentioned above, by sight and odor.

From April 1993 to May 1996, Sloan was assigned to the San Diego International Airport/Harbor Narcotic Task Force. Sloan was involved in over 200 cases at that assignment, either as the case agent, canine handler, or assisting detective. Detective Sloan has observed thousands of persons in and around the airport and has developed an expertise in observing certain characteristics, of which one or more are commonly evident in the majority of narcotic smuggling cases.

On May 8, 1996, Detective Sloan was assigned to the NTF Commercial Interdiction Team. Sloan has been involved in over 300 parcel cases where he utilized surveillance techniques, profiles of persons and parcels and his narcotic detection canine. Sloan has observed several thousand parcels during the course of his duties. On selected parcels, Sloan has observed certain characteristics that although not illegal, when taken in their totality lead him to believe the parcel contains controlled substances. These include illegible or non-existent return addresses, misspelled street names, handwritten labels, taped in an unusual manner, strong masking odors emitting from the parcel and/or cash payment. His expertise in identifying these characteristics has been the sole probable cause for the issuance and execution of search warrants for parcels containing controlled substances.

Until recently, Sloan has been able to confirm his suspicions by utilizing a narcotic detection canine to alert and obtaining a search warrant. It has come to Sloan's attention during recent inspections of parcels containing controlled substances discovered during routine audits by United Parcel Service security that the smugglers are utilizing extreme measures to shield the odor of the controlled substance from the

canine. In October 2004, Detective Sloan was re-assigned to the San Diego International Airport team.

In June 2005, Detective Sloan was assigned to train K9 "Reilly in the area of narcotic detection. Reilly had received approximately 40 hours of training in the detection of marijuana, cocaine, heroin and methamphetamine prior to this under the direction of Maryanne Bohnett of Far Fetched Retrievers kennels. Ms. Bohnett is a trainer and certifying official for the California Narcotic Canine Association. On August 16, 2005 after 40 hours of training in the detection of marijuana, heroin, methamphetamine and cocaine, "Reilly" was certified as 100% proficient in the detection of same by CNCA certifying official Tom Iverson. On July 13, 2006, Reilly was recertified by CNCA certifying official, Tom Iverson. Reilly's alert consists of physical and mental reactions, which include a heightened emotional state, and coming to a complete "sit" when his physical position allows. Reilly has completed a total of 226 hours of training. Subsequent to his certification, Reilly has alerted 13 times and 1 search warrant has been obtained on his alerts. Reilly alerts on many occasions where the controlled substances are seized because a warrant is not required, has already been obtained or consent is obtained. Reilly is the fifth narcotic detection dog Detective Sloan has worked since 1985.

Detective Sloan and Reilly have been involved in training exercises where known controlled substances, containers, or paraphernalia were hidden. Because of the absorption of the odor, and the narcotics detection dog's inherently keen sense of smell, the narcotic detection dog will continue to alert on the container or item depending on the length of exposure to the controlled substance, the specific controlled substance,

and ventilation of the item or container. The common belief is all currency in circulation is contaminated with narcotics. It has been the experience of Detective Sloan, a court recognized narcotic detection canine expert, a properly trained narcotic detection canine will not alert to all currency. Sloan has witnessed numerous searches of parcels by trained narcotic detection canines where no alert was given. The parcels later were discovered to contain substantial sums of U.S. Currency.

It has been Detective Sloan's experience; the training of the canine regarding threshold amounts of narcotic odors is the most relevant factor regarding alerts on currency. It has been Sloan's experience the training must include the establishment of a lower threshold of approximately one gram of odor or more to ensure the canine is alerting to more than average contamination. Average contamination is reported to occur through normal handling. The canine must also be "proofed" from numerous odors including currency on a regular basis to maintain consistency. These have included food, plastic bags and wrap, tape, controlled substance adulterants, circulated US Currency, and other items. Proofing is a method used in training to ensure the canine alerts only to the odors for which it is trained to alert.